Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/764,955	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Boris L. Chervinsky (2) Alan O. Maki, Reg. No. 20,623 (4)		10/764,965	MILLER ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Boris L. Chervinsky. (2) Alan O. Maki, Reg. No. 20,623. (4)		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Baris L. Chervinsky. (2) Alan O. Maki, Reg. No. 20.623. Date of Interview: 29 March 2005 Time: Type of Interview: 3 Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 8,9 Prior art documents discussed: 8,9 Prior art documents discussed: Applicants agreed to cancel claims 8 and 9 to avoid prior art rejection. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the Notice of Allowability. BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER		Boris L. Chervinsky	2835
Date of Interview: 29 March 2005 Time:	All Participants: Status of Application:		
Date of Interview: 29 March 2005 Type of Interview: Telephonic Yideo Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 8,9 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to cancel claims 8 and 9 to avoid prior art rejection. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview of the Substance of the Interview of the Interv	(1) Boris L. Chervinsky.	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Alan O. Maki, Reg. No. 20,623</u> .	(4)	
Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 29 March 2005	Time:	
Rejection(s) discussed: 8,9 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to cancel claims 8 and 9 to avoid prior art rejection. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	ant's representative)	
Claims discussed: 8,9 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to cancel claims 8 and 9 to avoid prior art rejection. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	Part I.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to cancel claims 8 and 9 to avoid prior art rejection. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHERVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	Rejection(s) discussed:		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to cancel claims 8 and 9 to avoid prior art rejection. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	8,9		
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	Part II.		·
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER			DISCUSSED:
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. BORIS CHERVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER BORIS CHERVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	Part III.		
	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
			,
		louis l. C	Just our
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)